UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

JOHN P. McSHEFFREY,

Petitioner,

v. Civil Action No. 2:23cv71

PAUL J. POWERS, et al.,

Respondent.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on *pro se* Petitioner John P. McSheffrey's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 ("the Petition"). ECF No. 1. The matter was referred to the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge ("the undersigned") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(B) and (C), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b), Eastern District of Virginia Local Civil Rule 72, and the April 2, 2002, Standing Order on Assignment of Certain Matters to United States Magistrate Judges. ECF No. 2. Shortly after filing the Petition, Petitioner moved to voluntarily dismiss the Petition. ECF No. 3. At this time, because Respondents have not yet filed answer, Petitioner has an absolute right to dismiss this action. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(i). Accordingly, the undersigned RECOMMENDS that the Petition, ECF No. 1, be DENIED and DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

REVIEW PROCEDURE

By receiving a copy of this Report and Recommendation, Petitioner is notified that:

1. Any party may serve on the other party and file with the Clerk of this Court specific written objections to the above findings and recommendations within fourteen days from the date

this Report and Recommendation is forwarded to the objecting party. See 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1)(C) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b), computed pursuant to Federal Rule of

Civil Procedure 6(a). A party may respond to another party's specific written objections within

fourteen days after being served with a copy thereof. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P.

72(b).

2. A United States District Judge shall make a de novo determination of those portions of

this Report and Recommendation or specified findings or recommendations to which objection is

made. The parties are further notified that failure to file timely specific written objections to the

above findings and recommendations will result in a waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment

of this Court based on such findings and recommendations. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985);

Carr v. Hutto, 737 F.2d 433 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 474 U.S. 1019 (1985); United States v.

Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1954).

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to forward a copy of this Report and Recommendation to

Petitioner.

LAWRENCE R. LEONARD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Norfolk, Virginia February 28, 2023

2